arXiv:1601.06626v1 [math.AC] 22 Jan 2016

# Computing the decomposition group of a zero-dimensional ideal by elimination method

Yongbin Li

School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Electronic Science and Technology of China
Chengdu 611731, P.R. China

yongbinli@uestc.edu.cn

**Abstract.** In this note, we show that the decomposition group $Dec(I)$ of a zero-dimensional radical ideal $I$ in $\mathbf{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ can be represented as the direct sum of several symmetric groups of polynomials based upon using Gröbner bases. The new method makes a theoretical contribution to discuss the decomposition group of $I$ by using Computer Algebra without considering the complexity. As one application, we also present an approach to yield new triangular sets in computing triangular decomposition of polynomial sets $\mathbb{P}$ if $Dec(< \mathbb{P} >)$ is known.

**Keywords:** Decomposition group, symmetric group, zero-dimensional ideal, Gröbner basis, characteristic polynomial,triangular decomposition

## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a perfect field and $\mathbf{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ (or $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ for short) the ring of polynomials in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{K}$. Let $S_n$ be the symmetric group on $n-$ elements. Consider the canonical action of $S_n$ on a special ideal $I$ of $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$. We focus upon computation of the subgroup $Dec(I) \leq S_n$ which leaves $I$ globally invariant. The group $Dec(I)$ is called the *decomposition group* of $I$.

$Dec(I)$ is up to the Galois group of $F \in \mathbf{K}[x]$ where $I$ is the defining ideal of an irreducible polynomial $F \in \mathbf{K}[x]$ of degree $n$. Anai, Noro and Yokohama in [2] give an algorithm for computing $Dec(< \mathbb{T} >)$ which can be executed with $O(n^4)$. Ines, Abdeljaouad *et al.* in [7] (unpublished) present the other one which is bound by $O(n^3)$ by constructing an increasing chain of subgroups.

In this paper, we consider $I$ as a zero-dimensional radical ideal. Some properties of $Dec(I)$ are described in Section 2. Lemma 3.2 in Section 3 shows an approach to compute an useful partition of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ by the fine structure of $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]/I$ using Gröbner bases. Based upon the partition, an alternative method is given by Theorem 3.1 in Section 3 for computing $Dec(I)$ without considering the complexity. Our strategy of the computation of $Dec(I)$ is to compute the direct product of the symmetric groups $Symm(F_k)$ of some multivariate polynomials $F_k$.

As an application of $Dec(I)$ where $I$ is a zero-dimensional ideal, Theorem 5.1 in Section

5 is helpful to get some new triangular sets in triangular decomposition of $I$ when $Dec(I)$ is given.

## 2 Preliminaries

The extension field $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ of $\mathbf{K}$ is algebraically closed in this paper. A *polynomial set* is a finite set $\mathbb{P}$ of nonzero polynomials in $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$. The ideal of $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ generated by all elements of $\mathbb{P}$ is denoted by $< \mathbb{P} >$. The zero set of $\mathbb{P}$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n$, denoted by $\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(\mathbb{P})$, is called the *affine variety* defined by $\mathbb{P}$. It is obvious that $\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(\mathbb{P}) = \mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(< \mathbb{P} >)$. If $\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(\mathbb{P})$ is a finite set, then $< \mathbb{P} >$ is called a *zero-dimensional* ideal of $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$. An ideal $I$ in $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ such that $I = \sqrt{I}$ is called a *radical ideal*.

The next definition of $Dec(I)$ generalizes the one mentioned in [2, 7].

**Definition 2.1.** *Let $I$ be a zero-dimensional radical ideal in $\mathbf{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. The following permutation group $Dec(I)$ is called the decomposition group of $I$*

$$Dec(I) \triangleq \{\sigma \in S_n |\, f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}) \in I,\ \forall\, f \in I\}.$$

**Example 2.1.** *Let $I =< x_1x_2x_3 + 1, x_1 + x_2 + x_3, x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_1 >$, then $Dec(I) = S_3$.*

The next subgroup of $S_n$ which is different from $Dec(I)$ concerns only one polynomial.

**Definition 2.2.** *Let $F \in \mathbf{R}[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ where $\mathbf{R}$ is a commutative ring. The following permutation group $Sym(F)$ is called the symmetric group of $F$,*

$$Sym(F) \triangleq \{\sigma \in S_n |\, F(t_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, t_{\sigma(n)}) = F\}.$$

**Example 2.2.** *Let $F = (t_1 + t_3)(t_2 + t_4) \in \mathbf{K}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]$, then $Sym(F) = D_4$.*

To understand the relation between $Dec(I)$ and $Sym(F)$, we have the following obvious claim.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If $f \in \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$, then $Sym(f) = Dec(< f >)$.*

Referring to [6], the quotient of $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ modulo $I$, written $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]/I$, is the set of equivalence classes for congruence modulo $I$:

$$\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]/I \triangleq \{[f] \,|\, f \in \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]\}$$

which is a finitely generated $\mathbf{K}$-algebra.

For any $\sigma \in S_n$, we denote $\psi_\sigma : \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}] \to \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ for the following $\mathbf{K}$-algebra homomorphism given by

$$\psi_\sigma(g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = g(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)})$$

for any $g \in \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$. It is obvious that $\psi_\sigma$ is a $\mathbf{K}$-algebra isomorphism. One can easily see that the next claim.

**Lemma 2.2.** *With the above situation, if $\sigma \in Dec(I)$, then $\psi_\sigma(I) = I$.*

Based upon the above result, we proceed to discuss some interesting properties of $Dec(I)$.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let $I$ be a zero-dimensional radical ideal in $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$. Then*

$$Dec(I) = \{\sigma \in S_n | \, (a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(n)}) \in \mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I), \ \forall \, (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I)\}.$$

*Proof.* For any $\sigma \in Dec(I)$ and $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I)$, $(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(n)}) \in \mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I)$ follows from $\psi_\sigma(I) = I$ by Lemma 2.2. Going in the other direction, considering that $I$ is a zero-dimensional radical ideal, we have $I(\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}^n}}(I)) = I$ by the Nullstellensatz. Given a $\sigma \in S_n$, $(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(n)}) \in \mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I)$ for any $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I)$ implies that $\psi_\sigma(I) = I$. Thus, our claim keeps true. ∎

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let $I$ be a zero-dimensional radical ideal in $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$. Then*

$$Dec(I) = \{\sigma \in S_n | \, [f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)})] \in \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]/I, \ \forall \, [f] \in \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]/I\}.$$

# 3 An alternative method for computing $Dec(I)$

## 3.1 A direct mehtod

Here we firstly give a direct method for computing $Dec(I)$ if $\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I)$ is known when $I$ is a zero-dimensional radical ideal in $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$.

Let $\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I) = \{P_1, \ldots, P_N\}$ with $P_i = (x_1^{(i)}, \cdots, x_n^{(i)})$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. Now set $S_i = \{x_i^{(k)} \, | \, k = 1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. We denote $\mathbf{S}$ for the set of all $S_i$.

**Example 3.1.** *Let* $\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I) = \{(2,3,5,6), (2,5,3,6), (2,5,6,3), (2,6,5,3)\}$. *Then,* $\mathbf{S} = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\}$ *where*

$$S_1 = \{2\}, S_2 = \{3,5,6\}, S_3 = \{3,5,6\}, S_4 = \{3,6\}.$$

Define two elements $S_i, S_j \in \mathbf{S}$ to be $I$-equivalent, denoted $S_i \sim_I S_j$, if $S_i = S_j$. One can easily check that $\sim_I$ is an equivalence relation, here we omit the details. The equivalence classes of $\sim_I$ yields a partition of $\mathbf{S}$. The above partition can naturally induce the following partition of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, denoted $P_I$.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If* $\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I)$ *is given when $I$ is a zero-dimensional radical ideal in $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$, then $P_I$ is a partition of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.*

**Example 3.2.** *Continued from the above example, we have $P_I = \{B_1, B_2, B_3\}$ with $B_1 = \{1\}, B_2 = \{4\}, B_3 = \{2, 3\}$.*

In fact, we can obtain $P_I$ by virtue of $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]/I$ without computing $\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I)$ in the next section.

### 3.2 Computing $Dec(I)$

When $\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I)$ is finite, $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]/I$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{K}$,which has a nice structure. The next results due to Chapter 2 of [6].

**Proposition 3.1.** *The map $\Phi : K[\mathbf{x}]/I \to End_K(K[\mathbf{x}]/I)$ defined by $\Phi([f]) = m_f$ where $m_f$ is a $K$-linear mapping from $K[\mathbf{x}]/I$ to $K[\mathbf{x}]/I$ defined by $m_f([g]) = [fg]$ is a $K$-algebra homomorphism.*

**Proposition 3.2.** *With the above situation, let $\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I) = \{P_1, \ldots, P_N\}$. For any $f \in K[\mathbf{x}]$, considering $m_f \in End_{\bar{K}}(\bar{K}[\mathbf{x}]/I)$, then $f(P_i)$ is an eigenvalue of $m_f$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$.*

In our method, the partition $P_I$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ plays a crucial role. Next we will obtain $P_I$ only by $m_{x_i}$.

**Lemma 3.2.** *With the above situation, $S_i \sim_I S_j$ if and only if $f_i = f_j$ where $f_k$ is the character polynomial of $m_{x_i}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I) = \{P_1, \ldots, P_N\}$ with $P_i = (x_1^{(i)}, \cdots, x_n^{(i)})$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. With the same notation, $S_i = \{x_i^{(k)} \mid k = 1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. By Proposition 3.2, we know that the roots of $f_i$ over $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ are all elements of $S_i$. Therefore our claim holds. ∎

**Example 3.3.** *Let $I =< x_1x_2, x_2 + x_1 + 1, x_3(x_3 + 1), x_3 + x_4 - 1 >$. One will compute that*

$$f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = \lambda^4 + 2\lambda^3 + \lambda^2,\ f_4 = \lambda^4 - 6\lambda^3 + 13\lambda^2 - 12\lambda + 4$$

*where $f_i(\lambda)$ is the character polynomial of $m_{x_i} \in End_{\bar{K}}(\bar{K}[\mathbf{x}]/I)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, see later in the section. Thus,*

$$P_I = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4\}\}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *With the above situation, let the partition $P_I = \{B_k \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \mid k = 1, \ldots, s\}$ with $B_k = \{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$. Then the decomposition group of $I$ is the direct product of the following subgroups $Sym(F_k)$,*

$$Dec(I) = \prod_{k=1}^{s} Sym(F_k)$$

*where $F_k \in \mathbf{K}(\lambda)[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ is the character polynomial $t_{n_1}m_{x_{n_1}} + \cdots + t_{n_k}m_{x_{n_k}}$ with new variables $t_1, \ldots, t_n$.*

*Proof.* Consider $P_I = \{B_k \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \mid k = 1, \ldots, s\}$ with $B_k = \{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$,

For any $\sigma \in Dec(I)$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\sigma(i) \in B_k$ for any $i \in B_k$ with $k = 1, \ldots, s$. This implies that

$$t_{n_1}m_{x_{n_1}} + \cdots + t_{n_k}m_{x_{n_k}} = t_{\sigma(n_1)}m_{x_{\sigma(n_1)}} + \cdots + t_{\sigma(n_k)}m_{x_{\sigma(n_k)}}$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq s$. Therefore, $F_k(t_{\sigma(n_1)}, \ldots, t_{\sigma(n_k)}) = F_k(t_{n_1}, \ldots, t_{n_k})$ by Proposition 3.2. So,

$$\sigma \in \prod_{k=1}^{s} Sym(F_k).$$

∎

**Example 3.4.** *Continued from the above example,* $Dec(I) = Sym(F_1)\times Sym(F_2) = \{(1),(1,2)\}\times \{(4)\} = \{(1),(1,2)\}$ *where*

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= (\lambda + t_2)(\lambda + t_2 + t_3)(\lambda + t_1)(\lambda + t_3 + t_1), \\ F_2 &= (\lambda - t_4)^2 (\lambda - 2\,t_4)^2 . \end{aligned}$$

**An alternative method :** Given a zero-dimensional radical ideal $I$ in $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$, we try to compute $Dec(I)$ as follows.

**Step 1.** Compute a Gröbner basis of $I$.

**Step 2.** Compute each $m_{x_i}$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

**Step 3.** Compute each character polynomial $f_i$ of $m_{x_i}$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

**Step 4.** Construct the partition $P_I$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ by Lemma 3.2.

**Step 5.** Compute the $Dec(I)$ by Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.5.** *Consider* $I =< x_1x_2, x_2 + x_1 + 1, x_3(x_3 + 1), x_3 + x_4 - 1 > .$

**Step 1.** *Compute a Gröbner basis* $G$ *of* $I$ *as follows,*

$G = [{x_4}^2 - 3\,x_4 + 2, x_4 + x_3 - 1, {x_2}^2 + x_2, x_1 + x_2 + 1].$

**Step 2.** *Compute each* $m_{x_i}$ *for* $1 \le i \le 4$ :

$$m_{x_1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, m_{x_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$m_{x_3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, m_{x_4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Step 3.** *Compute each character polynomial* $f_i$ *of* $m_{x_i}$ :

$$f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = \lambda^4 + 2\lambda^3 + \lambda^2,$$
$$f_4 = \lambda^4 - 6\lambda^3 + 13\lambda^2 - 12\lambda + 4.$$

**Step 4.** *Construct the partition* $P_I = \{\{1,2,3\},\{4\}\}$ *of* $\{1, 2, \ldots, 4\}$ *by Lemma 3.2.*

**Step 5.** Compute each character polynomial $F_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= |t_1 m_{x_1} + t_2 m_{x_2} + t_3 m_{x_3} - \lambda I| \\ &= (\lambda + t_2)(\lambda + t_2 + t_3)(\lambda + t_1)(\lambda + t_3 + t_1), \\ F_2 &= |t_4 m_{x_4} - \lambda I| = (\lambda - t_4)^2 (\lambda - 2\, t_4)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Compute $Sym(F_1) = \{(1), (1,2)\}$ and $Sym(F_2) = \{(4)\}$.

Thus, $Dec(I) = Sym(F_1) \times Sym(F_2) = \{(1), (1,2)\}$ by Theorem 3.1.

# 4 One application

Throughout this section, with the same notation in [4], we denote $\mathbf{K}[x_1 < \ldots < x_n]$ by the ring $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ with ordered variables $x_1 < \ldots < x_n$. A polynomial ordered set $\mathbb{T} = [f_1, \ldots, f_n]$ which could be written in the form

$$f_1 \in \mathbf{K}[x_1] \setminus \mathbf{K},\ f_i = f_i(x_1, \ldots, x_i) \in \mathbf{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i] \setminus \mathbf{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{i-1}]$$

for $2 \leq i \leq n$ is called a *zero-dimensional triangular set* in $\mathbf{K}[x_1 < \ldots < x_n]$. For $f_j \in \mathbb{T}$, $\mathrm{lcoeff}(f_j, x_j)$ is called the *leading coefficient* of $f_j$, denoted by $\mathrm{lc}(f_j)$. A zero-dimensional triangular set $\mathbb{T} = [f_1, \ldots, f_n]$ is call a *regular set* or *chain* if $\mathrm{res}(\mathrm{lc}(f_j), \mathbb{T}) \neq 0$ where $\mathrm{res}(\mathrm{lc}(f_j), \mathbb{T})$ stands for the *resultant* of $\mathrm{lc}(f_j)$ with respect to $\mathbb{T}$ for $j = 2, \cdots, s$. See [1,8,12,13,15] for the details.

Given a polynomial set $\mathbb{P}$ such that $I =< \mathbb{P} >$ is a zero-dimensional radical ideal in $\mathbf{K}[\mathbf{x}]$, based upon one of several successful algorithms for triangular decomposition presented in [**?**,8,9,14,16–18] , one may get the following zero decomposition of the form

$$\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{e} \mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(\mathbb{T}_i).$$

For convenience, we assume that each $\mathbb{T}_i$ in the above decomposition is the regular set or chain in $\mathbf{K}[x_1 < \ldots < x_n]$.

As one application of the decomposition group $Dec(I)$, the following result is helpful to compute new triangular sets based on a known triangular set in the above algorithms for triangular decomposition when $Dec(I)$ is given.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let* $\mathbf{K}$ *be a field, let* $I$ *be a zero-dimensional ideal, and let* $\mathbb{T}$ *be a regular set in* $\mathbf{K}[x_1 < \cdots < x_n]$*. If* $\psi_\sigma(\mathbb{T})$ *is a triangular set, then*

$$\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(\psi_\sigma(\mathbb{T})) \subseteq \mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I).$$

*Proof.* Consider $\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq \mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I)$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$\mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(\psi_\sigma(\mathbb{T})) \subseteq \mathrm{Zero}_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^n}(I).$$

∎

The next example wants to illustrate how triangular decomposition becomes simpler at some time by applying the above result.

**Example 4.1.** *Let* $I =< f_1, \ldots, f_5 >$ *where*

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5, \\
f_2 &= x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_4 + x_4x_5 + x_5x_1, \\
f_3 &= x_1x_2x_3 + x_3x_2x_4 + x_3x_5x_4 + x_5x_1x_4 + x_1x_2x_5, \\
f_4 &= x_3x_2x_1x_4 + x_4x_3x_5x_2 + x_3x_4x_5x_1 + x_5x_2x_1x_4 + x_1x_2x_5x_3, \\
f_5 &= x_5x_3x_2x_1x_4 + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

*It is obvious that* $Dec(\sqrt{I}) = S_5$.

*Using the Triangularize command of the library of RegularChains in Maple, see [10] for the details, we can compute 14 regular sets* $\mathbb{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{T}_{14}$ *such that*

$$\mathrm{Zero}_{\bar{\mathbf{K}}^n}(\{f_1, \ldots, f_5\}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{14} \mathrm{Zero}_{\bar{\mathbf{K}}^n}(\mathbb{T}_i),$$

*where*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{T}_1 &= [x_1 + 1, x_2 + 1, 1 + x_3, 1 - 3\,x_4 + x_4^2, -3 + x_4 + x_5], \\
\mathbb{T}_2 &= [x_1^2 - 3\,x_1 + 1, x_2 + 1, 1 + x_3, 1 + x_4, x_1 - 3 + x_5], \\
\mathbb{T}_3 &= [x_1 + 1, 1 - 3\,x_2 + x_2^2, 1 + x_3, 1 + x_4, -3 + x_2 + x_5], \\
\mathbb{T}_4 &= [x_1 + 1, x_2 + 1, -3\,x_3 + 1 + x_3^2, 1 + x_4, -3 + x_5 + x_3].
\end{aligned}$$

*The following fact shows that all* $\mathbb{T}_2, \mathbb{T}_2$ *and* $\mathbb{T}_4$ *can be obtained by* $\mathbb{T}_1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{T}_2 = \psi_{(1,4)}(\mathbb{T}_1) &= [x_1^2 - 3\,x_1 + 1, x_2 + 1, 1 + x_3, 1 + x_4, x_1 - 3 + x_5], \\
\mathbb{T}_3 = \psi_{(2,4)}(\mathbb{T}_1) &= [x_1 + 1, 1 - 3\,x_2 + x_2^2, 1 + x_3, 1 + x_4, -3 + x_2 + x_5], \\
\mathbb{T}_4 = \psi_{(3,4)}(\mathbb{T}_1) &= [x_1 + 1, x_2 + 1, -3\,x_3 + 1 + x_3^2, 1 + x_4, -3 + x_5 + x_3].
\end{aligned}$$

## References


[1] Aubry, P., Lazard D., Moreno Maza, M., On the theories of triangular sets, *J. Symb. Comput.* 1999, 28: 105-124.

[2] H. Anai, M. Noro, and K. Yokoyama. Computation of the splitting fields and the Galois groups of polynomials. In *Algorithms in algebraic geometry and applications (Santander, 1994)*, volume 143 of *Progr. Math.*, 29-50. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.

[3] B. Buchberger, Gröbner bases: An algorithmic method in polynomial ideal theory, Chapter 6 in: Multidimensional Systems Theory (N.K. Bose, Ed.), 184–232, D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1985.

[4] Chen, C., Moreno Maza, M.: Algorithms for computing triangular decomposition of polynomial systems. *J. Symb. Comput.* 47(6), 610C642 (2012)

[5] Chou, S.-C., Gao X.-S., Ritt-Wu's decomposition algorithm and geometry theorem proving. In: Stickel, M. E. (ed.): 10th International Conference on Automated Deduction. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo, 1990, 207-220 (Lecture notes in computer science, vol. 449)

[6] D. Cox, J. Little and D. O'Shea, Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms: An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra, Springer, 2006.

[7] I. Abdeljaouad, S. Orange, G. Renault, A. Valibouze, D. Computatioin of the decomposition group of a triangular ideal(preprint),2004.

[8] Kalkbrener, M. A generalized Euclidean algorithm for computing triangular representations of algebraic varieties. *J. Symb. Comput.,* 1993, **15**: 143-167.

[9] Lazard, D. A new method for solving algebraic systems of positive dimension. *Discrete Appl. Math.,* 1991, **33**: 147-160.

[10] Lemaire, F., Moreno Maza, M., Xie, Y. The RegularChains library. In: Maple 10, Maplesoft, Canada (2005); refereed software

[11] M. Kreuzer and L. Robbiano, Computational Commutative Algebra 1, Springer, 2000.

[12] Gao X.-S., Chou, S.-C., Solving parametric algebraic systems. In: Proc. ISSAC'92. ACM Press, 1992, 335-341.

[13] Yang, L., Zhang, J.-Z. Search dependency between algebraic equations: An algorithm applied to automated reasoning. Technical Report ICTP/91/6, International Center For Theoretical Physics, International Atomic Energy Agency, Miramare, Trieste, 1991.

[14] Yang, L., Zhang, J.-Z., Hou, X.-R., Non-Linear equation systems and automated theorem proving. Shanghai: Shanghai Sci. Tech. Education Publ, 1996 [in Chinese].

[15] Wang, D., Computing triangular systems and regular systems. *J. Symb. Comput.,* 2000, 30: 221-236.

[16] Wang, D., Elimination methods. Wien/New York: Springer, 2001.

[17] Wu, W.-T. On the decision problem and the mechanization of theorem-proving in elementary geometry. *Scientia Sinica*, 1978, 21: 159-172

[18] Wu, W.-T., Mathematics Mechanization, Science Press/Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000,337-338.